



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/798,790	03/11/2004	Satish Mahadevrao Totey	REL494/4-002US/58000	5605
21586	7590	06/27/2008	EXAMINER	
VINSON & ELKINS, L.L.P.			GAMETT, DANIEL C	
FIRST CITY TOWER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1001 FANNIN STREET, SUITE 2500			1647	
HOUSTON, TX 77002-6760			NOTIFICATION DATE	
			06/27/2008	
			DELIVERY MODE	
			ELECTRONIC	

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

cporter@velaw.com  
IPITLdocket@velaw.com  
bmelder@velaw.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/798,790	TOTEY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> DANIEL C. GAMETT	<b>Art Unit</b> 1647	

**All Participants:**

(1) DANIEL C. GAMETT.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) David Hibler.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 19 June 2008

**Time:** 12:15 pm

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

5-9, 12-33, and 38

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner suggested amendments to the claims that would permit allowance. Applicant argued that the changes would unnecessarily limit the scope of the claims. Both parties agreed that the issues were too complex to be resolved over the phone in the time allotted, and that prosecution would be served by the issuance of another non-final office action..